Training Guide for QA Users





This diagram shows the workflow of the ClearAudit process.



The role of the QA User, who acts during the process outlined in red above, is to assure that audit results and comparisons are accurate.

- 1. Log into the server: URL: ______
- 2. Select the election that is assigned to you; you will be directed to the Dashboard.

3. On the Dashboard, check that the number next to Pages judged to be non-ballots and Unreadable card images needing resolution is zero (0).



4. Click the number next to Cards that are fully blank. View each card to check if it is blank. An example of what the system can consider a blank ballot is shown below. Adjudicate the votes as needed.



5. Produce the Statement of Cards Cast report. Review the Cards column to see what has been scanned. In the example below, only the Election Day and Early Voting cards have been tabulated. The # Boxes column will show 1 for the precincts tabulated.

	County, MD, General Election, and the count of Cards				Filter tabl	e:
Precinct: All	Counter Group:	Contest: All	ScanStation: All	Box: All	Change	
Precinct			Cards	\$	# Boxes	Show / hide columns
AB1 AB2			0		0	"Boxes" column
EVC1	This example is sh	nowing	2,593		1	should always show a "1" for
PROV	Phase 1 where ab	-	0		0	
001001	Phase T where ab	sentee	270		1	precincts already
001002	precincts AB1 and	AB2. as	N 616		1	tabulated
001003	•		136		1	tubulatea
002001	well as provisiona	II (PROV)	139		1	
003001	ballots have not b	leen	562		1	
004001		, cerr	363		1	
005001	scanned yet.		213		1	
007001			840		1	
008001			727		1	
008002			243		1	
009001			145		1	
010001			78		1	

6. Produce the Cards Resolutions report. In the Unresolved Cards section of the report, there should be zero (0) entries. In the Resolved Cards section under Resolution Status - all the cards should be Resolved and tabulated.

Card Resolutions	al Election, Nov 8, 2016 Filter table: There should be no unreadables cards left to resolve			
Card ID 🔶	Resolution Status	Unreadable Reason(s)	Show / hide columns	
No data available in table 5 v entries per page	0 entries		First Previous Next FF Last Copy CSV Print Table	
Resolved Cards Resolut	ion status should be "Resolved and tabulated"	for all resolved cards	Filter table:	
Resolved Cards Card ID	tion status should be "Resolved and tabulated"	for all resolved cards A Resolver		
Resolved Cards	\checkmark		Show / hide columns	
Resolved Cards	Resolution Status	▲ Resolver 0	Show / hide columns Resolution Date	
Card ID PROV-PROV-001+09490	Resolution Status Resolved and tabulated	Resolver 0 CK	Show / hide columns Resolution Date 2016-11-21 15:35:54	

7. While on the Dashboard, click on the URL address bar and change the word "dash" to: "ovals."

elections.clearballot.com:88/dash/election_name_2018p

becomes

elections.clearballot.com:88/ovals/election_name_2018p

8. The Vote Visualization page appears. This displays the least confident votes for all choices. Change the default number of ovals to 500 to view more. Check for evidence of multifeeds or other irregularities.



9. Produce a Statement of Votes Cast report and filter by Counter Group and by Contest as needed. Spot check vote totals by looking at the totals posted on the state's State Board of Elections site. (See next page.)

Clear Ballot Reports for md_01_allegany_2018p + rosemary + Allegany County, MD, Primary Election, June 26, 2018 Filter table: Statement of Votes Cast Filter table: Precinct: All Contest: All Box: All Counter Group: All Contest: All ScanStation: All									
Choice 🔶 Rep Governor / Lt. Governor (Vote for 1)	Ballots With Contest	Votes	Overvote Vote for thi		¢	Undervoted Wi Vote for this Cl	thout	Links	\$
Hogan-Rutherford	7,479	6,777	C			683			
32018 Election Results ×	+							- 0	×
$\begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \rightarrow C & \triangleq \ https://elections.maryland.gov/elections/2018/results/primary/gen_result_2018_1 \\ \hline & \textcircled{\ } & \end{array} & \textcircled{\ } & \textcircled{\ } & \textcircled{\ } & \textcircled{\ } & \end{array} & \textcircled{\ } & \textcircled{\ } & \end{array} & \textcircled{\ } & \end{array}{\ } & \textcircled{\ } & \textcircled{\ } & \end{array}{\ } & \end{array}{\ } & \end{array}{\ } & \textcircled{\ } & \textcircled{\ } & \end{array}{\ } $									
 <u>1996</u> <u>1995 - Baltimore City</u> 	Name		Party	Early Voting	Election Day	Absentee / Provisiona	Total	Percentage	
 <u>1994</u> <u>1992</u> <u>1991</u> - Baltimore City 	Larry Hogan and E Rutherford ✔	Boyd K.	Republican	664	5,858	255	6,777	100.0%	

10. Review the following comparison reports:

🕑 Clear Ballot	Reports for md_20_somerset_2016g -	
Somerset County, MD, C Dashboard	ELECTION REPORTS Statement of Votes Cast Statement of Cards Cast	COMPARISON REPORTS Comparison of Votes Cast Comparison of Cards Cast
Election Data Election Phase Ballot type Approx ballot image dimensi # Card styles # Contests # Choices	Dashboard Contests Report Statement of Votes Cast with Precincts Generate PDF Report OTHER ITEMS Card Inventory	Comparison of Votes Cast with Precincts Discrepancy Summary Report Ballot MatchPoint Discrepancies Vote MatchPoint Discrepancies Counter Group Discrepancies Contest Vote Discrepancy Threshold Report
# Parties # Counter groups # Precincts # Precincts and card styles Ballot Scanning Operati	Election Activity Log Card Resolutions Causes of Unreadable Cards Card Locator Generate XML Results File	
Scan date		2016-11-09

a. **Comparison of Votes Cast** - This report is reviewed to compare the total number of votes casts as recorded by each system. (Voting System and Audit system)

- ✓ Expected Result: The difference should be zero or very small.
- b. **Comparison of Cards Cast** This report is reviewed to compare the total number of cards recorded for each Counter Group as reported by each system. (Voting System and Audit system)
 - ✓ Expected Result: The difference should be zero.
- c. **Ballot MatchPoint Discrepancies** The choice, precinct and counter group values are the criteria used to identify Ballot Match Points. A sample of Match Points are reviewed for discrepancies.
 - ✓ Expected Result: The differences should be zero or very small.
- d. **Vote MatchPoint Discrepancies** The choice, precinct and counter group values are the criteria used to identify Vote Match Points. A sampling of Match Points is reviewed for discrepancies.
 - ✓ Expected Result: The differences should be zero or very small.
- e. **Counter Group Discrepancies** This report is reviewed to compare the total number of cards reported for each system (Voting System and Audit system) sorted by Counter Group.
 - ✓ Expected Result: The differences should be zero or very small.
- f. **Contest Vote Discrepancy Threshold Report** This report is reviewed to determine the number of Vote Discrepancies.
 - ✓ Expected Result: The threshold for discrepancies is set to 0.5%. The sum of vote discrepancies should be less than 0.5%.

Note:

If you have any concerns or questions about differences or irregularities you encounter, please notify the designated team member(s).

- 11. When Phase 1 is complete, four reports must be sent to the SBE. These include:
 - Comparison of Cards Cast with Precincts.

- Comparison of Cards Cast with Counter Groups.
- Comparison of Votes Cast.
- Audit Threshold Report

When creating these reports, the naming convention to use is the following, matching upper and lowercase conventions as shown: county number_county name_Report Name_2018g.pdf

For example: 04_baltimore_Comparison of Cards Cast with Precincts_2018g.pdf

12. When Phase 2 is complete, the same four reports as in Phase 1 must be sent to the SBE.

When creating these reports, the naming convention to use is the following, matching upper and lowercase conventions as shown: county number_county name_Report Name.Upload date.pdf

For example: 04_baltimore_Comparison of Cards Cast with Precincts.2018-11-05.pdf